



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/781,927	02/18/2004	Gerald Andre	04-17	04-17 9647	
75	7590 05/04/2005			EXAMINER	
James R. Williams			BLANKENSHIP, GREGORY A		
Jameson, Seltze	er, Harper & Williams				
2625 Wilmington Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New Castle, PA 16105			3612		

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 05022005				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Attachment(s)						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Application Papers						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
7) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are objected to.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
5) Claim(s) 14-19 is/are allowed.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
Disposition of Claims						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
, — , — , —	,—					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on amendment filed 3/16/2005.						
Status						
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
·	Greg Blankenship	3612				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	10/781,927	ANDRE ET AL.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				



DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-6 and rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pleschke et al. (6,634,702).

Pleschke et al. disclose a front face (1) that has metal structural parts embedded in at least part of a plastic cross member body. The plastic cross member includes a grid of ribs (8) and legs (9). Metal legs are embedded within the plastic legs (9). A metal cross-beam (6) has a closed cross section and laterally extending supports.

Double Patenting

3. Claims 7 and 12 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 14 and 19. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they

Application/Control Number: 10/781,927

Art Unit: 3612

Page 3

both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 7-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 14-19 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 3/16/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant has argued that the cross member of Pleschke et al. includes a continuous sheet metal. The cross member of Pleschke et al. is made of both metal and plastic. The examiner has pointed out the portions that are made of plastic in all rejections of claims 1-6 and 13. The applicant's claims do not require the cross member to be made entirely out of plastic. Therefore, the claims read on Pleschke et al.'s cross member.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Blankenship whose telephone number is 571-272-6656.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(571) 273-6656, (for informal or draft communications, please clearly label "FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY", "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

gab May 2, 2005

D. GLENN DAYOAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 5600